UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/676,819      | 09/30/2003                                      | Malte Wedel          | 09700.0059-00       | 3069             |
|                 | 7590 03/25/201 <sup>.</sup><br>AN, HENDERSON LI | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 901 NEW YOR     | K ÁVENUE, NW<br>N, DC 20001-4413                | CHEN, QING           |                     |                  |
| WASHINGTO       | N, DC 20001-4413                                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |   |                      | 2191                |                  |
|                 |   |                      |                     |                  |
|                 |   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |   |                      | 03/25/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/676,819      | WEDEL ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
|                 | '            |  |

|  | Qing Chen   | 2191  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance    | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE         | g date of the final rejection                             | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two months                                   | s of the date of                         |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS   |   |   | e appeal. Since a                        |
| 3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  | out prior to the date of filing a brief,                                      | will not be entered be                                    | cause                                    |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor   |   | ΓE below);  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | • •   |   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | ter form for appeal by materially red   | ducing or simplifying ti                                  | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reis  | acted claims  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | otod olalino.   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |   | mnliant Amendment (I                                      | PTOL-324)                                |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>  |   | impliant / imonamont (i                                   | 1 102 024).                              |
| 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   |   | imely filed amendmer                                      | nt canceling the                         |
| non-allowable claim(s).  | owabie ii odbiiiitod iii a ooparate, i  | annery med anneriamen                                     | it our looking the                       |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | l be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 9-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi   | t or other evidence is                                    | necessary and                            |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea                                     | al and/or appellant fail:                                 | s to provide a                           |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                   | ed.                                      |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |   |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                              |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
|  | /Anna Deng/   |   |  |
|  | Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 2191  |  |
|  | Timely Examinor, Air O  | 2 10 1  |  |
|  |   |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration of the applied prior art and/or additional search in order to fairly determine the patentability of the proposed claims.